## **TOPOLOGIE IV – EXERCISE SHEET 6**

## MARCUS NICOLAS

The obstruction to orientability. Applying B(-) to the cartesian square of  $\infty$ -groups



By definition, the pullback  $\xi^*\theta$  of  $\theta$  along a stable spherical fibration  $\xi\colon B\to BG$  is exactly the obstruction to the existence of a lift



or in other words, the obstruction to orientability. Since there exists non-orientable spherical fibrations, the class  $\theta \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{BG}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  cannot vanish. Therefore  $\theta = w_1$ .

**Stable Hurewicz.** If X is a spectrum, there is a natural comparison morphism

$$\pi_0(X \otimes \mathbb{S}) \to \pi_0(X \otimes \mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the ring map  $\mathbb{S} \to \mathbb{Z}$ .

• If  $E \equiv \mathbb{S}$ , then this map is the identification

$$\pi_0^{\mathrm{s}}(S^0) \simeq \mathrm{H}_0(S^0; \mathbb{Z})$$

obtained by taking the colimit of the Hurewicz isomorphisms

$$\pi_n(S^n) \simeq \mathrm{H}_n(S^n;\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{H}_0(S^0;\mathbb{Z})$$

• Since  $\pi_0$ : Gpd  $\rightarrow$  Set preserves finite products, the adjunction

$$\operatorname{Gpd}$$
  $\xrightarrow{\pi_0}$   $\xrightarrow{}$  Set

induces

$$\mathrm{CGrp}(\mathrm{Gpd})\simeq \operatorname{Sp}_{\geq 0} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \operatorname{Ab} \simeq \mathrm{CGrp}(\mathrm{Set})$$

In particular both composites  $\pi_0((-) \otimes \mathbb{S})$  and  $\pi_0((-) \otimes \mathbb{Z})$  preserve colimits when seen as functors  $\operatorname{Sp}_{>0} \to \operatorname{Ab}$ .

Since the smallest full subcategory of  $Sp_{\geq 0}$  closed under colimits and containing S is  $Sp_{\geq 0}$  itself, it follows that the canonical comparison is an isomorphism

$$\pi_0(X) \simeq \pi_0(X \otimes \mathbb{Z})$$

for any connected spectrum X. Equivalently, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\pi_n(X) \simeq \pi_n(X \otimes \mathbb{Z})$$

for any (n-1)-connected spectrum X.

Exercise 1. Since Stiefel–Whitney classes are stable and natural, it suffices to prove the desired formula

$$\operatorname{Sq}^{i}(w_{j}(p)) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} {\binom{j+k-i-1}{k}} w_{j+k}(p) w_{i-k}(p)$$

for any given real vector bundle p. The proof proceeds by induction on the rank n of p.

- The case n = 0 is clear, since in this case w(p) = 1.
- Assuming that Wu's second formula holds for rank n vector bundles, we establish that it must also hold for p of rank n + 1. Since we are working with  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -coefficients, the splitting principle applies and we may assume that p splits as

$$p \simeq q \oplus \lambda$$

where  $\lambda$  is a line bundle. Observe that the formula trivially holds when i > j as well as in the edge case i = j. Assuming i < j, compute

$$Sq^{i}(w_{j}(p)) = Sq^{i}(w_{j}(q) + w_{1}(\lambda)w_{j-1}(q))$$
  
= Sq^{i}(w\_{j}(q)) + w\_{1}(\lambda)Sq^{i}(w\_{j-1}(q)) + w\_{1}(\lambda)^{2}Sq^{i-1}(w\_{j-1}(q))

By the induction hypothesis:

(a) 
$$\operatorname{Sq}^{i}(w_{j}(q)) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} {j+k-i-1 \choose k} w_{j+k}(q) w_{i-k}(q)$$
  
(b)  $w_{1}(\lambda) \operatorname{Sq}^{i}(w_{j-1}(q))$   
 $= w_{1}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{i} {j+k-i-2 \choose k} w_{j+k-1}(q) w_{i-k}(q)$   
 $= w_{1}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{i} \left( {j+k-i-1 \choose k} - {j+k-i-2 \choose k-1} \right) w_{j+k-1}(q) w_{i-k}(q)$   
 $= w_{1}(\lambda) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i} {j+k-i-1 \choose k} w_{j+k-1}(q) w_{i-k}(q) + \sum_{k=-1}^{i-1} {j+k-i-1 \choose k} w_{j+k}(q) w_{i-k-1}(q) \right)$   
 $= w_{1}(\lambda) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{i} {j+k-i-1 \choose k} w_{j+k-1}(q) w_{i-k}(q) + \sum_{k=0}^{i} {j+k-i-1 \choose k} w_{j+k}(q) w_{i-k-1}(q) \right)$   
 $= w_{1}(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{i} {j+k-i-1 \choose k} (w_{j+k-1}(q) w_{i-k}(q) + w_{j+k}(q) w_{i-k-1}(q))$ 

Here, the first step uses that the identity  $\binom{m+1}{n} = \binom{m}{n} + \binom{m}{n-1}$  holds for  $0 \le n \le m$  but also for m = -1 and n = 0.

(c) 
$$w_1(\lambda)^2 \operatorname{Sq}^{i-1}(w_{j-1}(q)) = w_1(\lambda)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} {j+k-i-1 \choose k} w_{j+k-1}(q) w_{i-k-1}(q)$$
  
$$= w_1(\lambda)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{i} {j+k-i-1 \choose k} w_{j+k-1}(q) w_{i-k-1}(q)$$

Finally:

$$Sq^{i}(w_{j}(p)) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} {\binom{j+k-i-1}{k}} (w_{j+k}(q) + w_{1}(\lambda)w_{j+k-1}(q)) (w_{i-k}(q) + w_{1}(\lambda)w_{i-k-1}(q))$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{i} {\binom{j+k-i-1}{k}} w_{j+k}(p)w_{i-k}(p)$$

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\xi: B \to BG$  be an oriented stable spherical fibration. We proved during last exercise session that  $\xi$  admits a Thom isomorphism in  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology. More explicitly, the composite

is an equivalence of coconnective spectra. In particular, the composite

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{Th}(\xi)) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\xi}} B \otimes \mathcal{M}(\xi) \xrightarrow{u(\xi)} B \otimes \mathbb{Z}$$

induces an isomorphism on  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology. Since this is also the case of the unit  $M(\xi) \to M(\xi) \otimes \mathbb{Z}$ , the induced  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map

$$M(\xi) \longrightarrow M(\xi) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow B \otimes \mathbb{Z}$$

induces an isomorphism on  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology as well.

• If B is finite, then

$$\mathrm{M}(\xi) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{B} \xi \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{B} \mathbb{S}$$

is as well, and both  $M(\xi) \otimes \mathbb{Z}$  and  $B \otimes \mathbb{Z}$  are dualizable. Therefore, the map



is an equivalence.

• In general, B is a filtered colimit of finite groupoids

$$B \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} B_i$$

and

$$M(\xi) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{B} \xi$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} \operatorname{colim}_{B_i} \xi_i$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{colim}_{i \in I} M(\xi_i)$$

We conclude by taking a filtered colimit on the maps

$$\mathbf{M}(\xi_i)\otimes\mathbb{Z}\simeq B_i\otimes\mathbb{Z}$$

for  $i \in I$ .

Conversely if  $M(\xi) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \simeq B \otimes \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $M(\xi) \to B \otimes \mathbb{Z}$  induces an isomorphism on  $\mathbb{Z}$ -cohomology and Thom isomorphism holds.

**Exercise 3.** Fix E a commutative ring spectrum.

(1) For  $\xi: B \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{S})$  a stable spherical fibration, compute

$$C^{-*}(\mathbf{M}(\xi); E) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{M}(\xi), E)$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{E}(\mathbf{M}(\xi) \otimes E, E)$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{E}(\operatorname{colim} \xi \otimes E, E)$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fun}(B, \operatorname{Mod}(E))}(\xi \otimes E, \underline{E})$$

and, similarly

$$C^{-*}(B; E) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{E}(B \otimes E, E)$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{E}(\operatorname{colim} \underline{E}, E)$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Fun}(B, \operatorname{Mod}(E))}(\underline{E}, \underline{E})$$

Observe now that the following data are equivalent:

- (i) a Thom class, in other words an arrow  $u: M(\xi) \to E$  whose restriction  $x^*u: \mathbb{S} \to E$  along any point  $x: * \to B$  is a unit of the commutative ring  $\pi_0(E)$
- (ii) a natural transformation  $u: \xi \otimes E \to \underline{E}$  between functors  $B \to \operatorname{Pic}(E)$
- (iii) a trivialization of the composite  $\xi \otimes E \colon B \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{S}) \to \operatorname{Pic}(E)$

In this case, we obtain a Thom isomorphism in *E*-cohomology

$$C^{-*}(B; E) \xrightarrow{(-) \cdot u} C^{-*}(M(\xi); E)$$

$$\| \qquad \|$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\underline{E}, \underline{E}) \xrightarrow{u^{*}} \operatorname{Hom}(\xi \otimes E, \underline{E})$$

(2) The connected component of  $\operatorname{Pic}(E)$  containing E is exactly  $\operatorname{BAut}_E(E)$ . Since  $\operatorname{Aut}_E(E)$  is the subgroupoid of  $\operatorname{End}_E(E) \simeq E$  on invertible connected components, it follows that for  $n \ge 0$ :

$$\pi_{n+1}(\operatorname{Pic}(E)) := \begin{cases} \pi_0(E)^{\times} & \text{if } n = 0\\ \pi_n(E) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For  $\xi: B \to \operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{S})$  a rank 0 spherical fibration, the composite

$$B \xrightarrow{\xi} \operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{S}) \xrightarrow{(-) \otimes E} \operatorname{Pic}(E)$$

factors through  $BAut_E(E)$ .

• If  $E \equiv \mathbb{F}_2$ , then all homotopy groups  $\pi_{n+1}(\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_2))$  vanish and there exists an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -oriented Thom class

$$u(\xi) \colon \mathcal{M}(\xi) \to \mathbb{F}_2$$

and therefore a Thom isomorphism with  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -coefficients.

• If  $E \equiv \mathbb{Z}$  and assuming B connected and pointed, the obstruction to the existence of a Thom class is exactly the induced map

$$\pi_1(B) \to \pi_1(\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{Z})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

vanishing if and only if  $\xi$  is orientable, if and only if the first Stiefel–Whitney class  $w_1(\xi)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -coefficients vanishes.

**Exercise 4.** In both cases, we show that invertible modules are shifts of the unit.

(1) Let X be an invertible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, with inverse Y. In particular both X and Y are perfect, and thus are represented by bounded complexes of projective (which here are free since we work over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) modules. Fix two such representatives X and Y in  $\operatorname{Ch}_{\geq -m}(\mathbb{Z})$  for some  $m \geq 0$ .

The classical tensor product  $X \otimes Y$  is already derived, since both X and Y are cofibrant for the projective model structure on  $\operatorname{Ch}_{\geq -m}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since X and Y are degreewise projective, Künneth formula yields split short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{H}_{k}(X) \otimes \mathrm{H}_{n-k}(Y) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{Tor}_{1}(\mathrm{H}_{k}(X), \mathrm{H}_{n-k-1}(Y)) \longrightarrow 0$$

for all n, and because  $\text{Tor}_1(A, B)$  is always torsion for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules of finite type A and B, the left term at n = 0 cannot vanish. Therefore, there exists r such that  $H_r(X) \otimes H_{-r}(Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , and this implies in turn

$$\operatorname{H}_{r}(X) \simeq \operatorname{H}_{-r}(Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

As an immediate consequence, we obtain  $H_{n-r}(Y) \simeq 0$  and  $H_{n+r}(X) \simeq 0$  for  $n \neq 0$ , so that  $X \simeq \mathbb{Z}[r]$ and  $Y \simeq \mathbb{Z}[-r]$ .

(2) Let X be an inversible S-module, and assume without loss of generality that  $X \otimes \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ . Since X is dualizable, it is (-m)-connective for some  $m \ge 0$ , and stable Hurewicz then implies that X is connective and yields an identification

$$\pi_0(X) \simeq \pi_0(X \otimes \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

Since the first map is obtained by the composition

τ

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(X) &\simeq \pi_0 \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{S}, X) \\ &\to \pi_0 \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{S}, X \otimes \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\simeq \pi_0 \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, X \otimes \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\simeq \pi_0(X \otimes \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

there exists a morphism  $\alpha \colon \mathbb{S} \to X$  inducing an equivalence after applying  $(-) \otimes \mathbb{Z}$ . In particular

$$(\operatorname{cofib} \alpha) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \simeq \operatorname{cofib} \alpha \otimes \mathbb{Z}$$
  
 $\simeq 0$ 

Both  $\mathbb{S}$  and X are connective so  $\operatorname{cofib} \alpha$  is connective as well, and stable Hurewicz then implies  $\operatorname{cofib} \alpha \simeq 0$ . Finally,  $\alpha$  is an equivalence  $\mathbb{S} \simeq X$ .